

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/913,878	GIUSEPPE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636	

All Participants:

(1) Celine X. Qian Ph.D.

(2) Arlene Powers.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CELIAN QIAN
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the case is allowable with an examiner's amendment, but there are several sequences listed in Figure 5 lack sequence identifier and not listed in the CRF and paper listing. Ms. Powers has agreed to submit a substitute CRF and paper listing contain these sequences as 312 amendment.